

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Lox 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/901,372	07/09/2001	Sarah Black	SH-1	6590
27157	7590 09/09/2003			
GREENWALD & BASCH, LLP			EXAMINER	
	COMMERCIAL STREET, HESTER, NY 14445	MARSCHEL, ARDIN H		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1631	
			DATE MAILED: 09/09/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/901,372	BLACK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Ardin Marschel	1631
Period for Reply	.,	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rej - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however ply within the statutory minimu d will apply and will expire SIX te, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed m of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ T	his action is non-final	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims (1) Claim(s) 1.20 is/are pending in the application	nn.	
 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 		nn
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	awir iroini consideratio	л.
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requireme	nt
Application Papers	or cicolor requireme	nt.
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce	epted or b) objected	to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held ir	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)∏ approved l	o) disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
 Certified copies of the priority documen 	ts have been receive	d.
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been receive	d in Application No
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International But a See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2	2(a)).
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	•	
a) The translation of the foreign language pr	ovisional application	has been received.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)		erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) tice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:

Art Unit: 1631

DETAILED ACTION

VAGUENESS AND INDEFINITENESS

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 1, the status of a living organism is stated as the claimed process. In the actual claim steps there is neither a living organism limitation anywhere nor any indication of a status. The claim is therefore vague and indefinite as to whether the preamble or the actual claim steps control the metes and bounds of the claim practice. Clarification via clearer claim wording is requested. Claims which depend directly or indirectly from claim 1 also contain this unclarity due to their dependence.

Claims 10 and 12 are additionally vague and indefinite in that they cite "said sampled cells" in line 1 but no antecedent basis is present for such "said" cells.

Clarification via clearer claim wording is requested.

PRIOR ART

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

Application/Control Number: 09/901,372

Art Unit: 1631

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1, 3-5, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vale et al. (P/N 6,410,687).

Vale et al. Describes the screening and identification of agents having effects on a cell cycle in the abstract involving contacting microtubules. This is further summarized regarding microtubule practice in the section entitled SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION in columns 2-4. Imaging of microtubules and proteins are depicted in Figure 7, for example, as summarized in column 10, lines 39-57. Various agents which have effects of interacting with microtubules and proteins therewith are described in the reference in column 10, line 61, through column 19, line 24, with various assay methodologies. In particular the detection methods include the specie of video microscopy as described in column 19, lines 34-64. Labeled or unlabeled microtubules are therein described as visualized along with depolymerizing or severing proteins. This describes the pattern imaging as in the instant claims. Both intact and depolymerized microtubules are stated therein as being visualized which describes the comparison between imaged patterns in the instant claims and historic (or intact) microtubules prior to depolymerization.

Thus, it would have been obvious to someone of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to utilize the video microscopy for imaging microtubule patterns with depolymerizing proteins to determine pattern changes as compared to

Application/Control Number: 09/901,372

Art Unit: 1631

Page 4

historic phenotypic patterns as in the instant claims because Vale et al. suggests this particular specie of detection method for microtubule pattern recognition.

No claim is allowed.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The CM1 Fax Center number is either (703)308-4242 or (703)305-3014.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ardin Marschel, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703)308-3894. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, Ph.D., can be reached on (703)308-4028.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Legal Instrument Examiner, Tina Plunkett, whose telephone number is (703)305-3524 or to the Technical Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

September 5, 2003

PRIMARY EVIMINER